

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,304	11/25/2003	Hirotake Nakamura	004553. 108004	7088
29540 75	590 11/07/2006		EXAM	INER
PITNEY HARDIN LLP			UHLENHAKE, JASON S	
7 TIMES SQUARE NEW YORK, NY 10036-7311				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2853	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/721,304	NAKAMURA, HIROTAKE
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Jason Uhlenhake	2853
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the second will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	·		
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Au</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) <u>9-14</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers	vn from consideration.	
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 November 2003</u> is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ a)∣	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been received in Received.	ntion No ved in this National Stage
2) Notic 3) Infor	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail (5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mochizuki et al (U.S. Pat. 6,059,405).

Mochizuki et al discloses:

- regarding claim 1, ink-jet recording apparatus comprising an ink-et printing head (Figure 2: 4,5) which ejects, to a recording medium (Figure 1, Column 2 Lines 50-65), the ink through nozzles (Column 4 Lines 4 23, Column 6 Lines 10 38)
- First ink-introducing step of initially introducing a first ink into said ink-jet printing head when printing head is initially used, said first ink having a first degree of deaeration (Column 3, Lines 65 67; Column 4, Lines 1 10)
- Second ink-introducing step of introducing a second ink into said ink-jet printing head after said first ink-introducing step, said second ink having a second degree of deaereation, which is lower than said first degree of deaeration (Column 4, Lines 47 56)
- regarding claim 8, wherein the ink packages include an ink bag whose opposite major surfaces are constituted by a pair of flexible walls, and a rigid ink-bag casing which accommodates said ink bag (Figure 5; Column 1, Lines 27 41)

Application/Control Number: 10/721,304 Page 3

Art Unit: 2853

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mochizuki et al (U.S. Pat. 6,059,405) as modified by Sakanobe et al (U.S. 6,286,934), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ardito (U.S. Pub. 2002/0191056), and Anderson et al (U.S. Pat. 6,837,577)

Mochizuki et al as modified by Sakanobe et al discloses the all of the claimed limitations except for the following:

- **regarding claim 3**, ink package is enclosed in a sealing wrapper whose interior space is evacuated to a pressure lower than an atmospheric pressure
- regarding claim 4, a step of taking ink package out of said sealing wrapper

Ardito discloses:

- **regarding claim 3,** , ink package is enclosed in a sealing wrapper (Figure 1; Paragraph 0020), for the purpose of preventing deformation or breakage of an ink package

Art Unit: 2853

- **regarding claim 4,** a step of taking ink package out of said sealing wrapper (Paragraph 0020), for the purpose of preventing deformation or breakage of an ink package

Anderson et al discloses:

- **regarding claim 3,** interior space is evacuated to a pressure lower than an atmospheric pressure (Column 7, Lines 12 – 20), for the purpose of providing fluid communication with an ink source.

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of ink package is enclosed in a sealing wrapper whose interior space is evacuated to a pressure lower than an atmospheric pressure; a step of taking ink package out of said sealing wrapper as taught by Ardito and Anderson into the device of Mochizuki et al as modified by Sakanobe et al. The motivation for doing so would have been to prevent deformation or breakage of an ink package and provide fluid communication with an ink source.

Claims 5, 6, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mochizuki et al (U.S. Pat. 6,059,405) as modified by Sakanobe et al (U.S. 6,286,934), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ardito (U.S. Pub. 2002/0191056), and Matsumoto et al (JP 61141560 A)

Mochizuki et al as modified by Sakanobe et al discloses the all of the claimed limitations except for the following:

Application/Control Number: 10/721,304 Page 5

Art Unit: 2853

- regarding claim 5, ink package is enclosed in a sealing wrapper whose interior space is charged with an inert gas that has a degree of solubility in the ink lower than the air

- regarding claim 6, step of taking ink package out of said sealing wrapper before mounting
 - regarding claim 7, wherein said inert gas is a helium gas

 Matsumoto et al discloses the following:
- regarding claim 5, ink package is enclosed in a sealing wrapper whose interior space is charged with an inert gas that has a degree of solubility in the ink lower than the air, (Abstract) for the purpose of providing an ink-package assembly arranged to minimize deterioration of deaeration of ink.
- **regarding claim 7**, wherein said inert gas is a helium gas (Abstract) for the purpose of providing an ink-package assembly arranged to minimize deterioration of deaeration of ink.

Ardito discloses:

- regarding claim 6, step of taking ink package out of said sealing wrapper before mounting (Paragraph 0020), for the purpose of preventing deformation or breakage of an ink package

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of ink package is enclosed in a sealing wrapper whose interior space is charged with an inert gas that has a degree of solubility in the ink lower than the air; step of taking ink package out

Art Unit: 2853

of said sealing wrapper before mounting; wherein said inert gas is a helium gas as taught by Matsumoto et al and Ardito into the device of Mochizuki et al as modified by Sakanobe et al. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide an inkpackage assembly arranged to minimize deterioration of deaeration of ink and prevent deformation or breakage of an ink package.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/30/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant states that Mochizuki (U.S. 6,059,405), does not disclose that ink initially introduced into the recording head upon initial use has a relatively high deaeration degree while ink that has a lower deaeration degree than the initially introduced ink is subsequently introduced after the initial introduction of the ink.

Mochiziuki discloses that a first ink is initially introduced to the recording heads (4,5) upon initial use has a relatively high deaeration degree (Column 3, Lines 65 –67;

Column 4, Lines 1 – 10) from the main tanks (16-19), and a second ink is introduced into the main tanks (16-19) having a lower deaeration degree after the initial introduction of the ink (Column 4, Lines 47 – 56), after the inks are introduced to the main tanks they will be supplied to recording heads via deaerators (Abstract). The claimed limitation does not include an ink package mounted wherein the ink package accommodates a first ink having a first degree of deaeration for the first ink-introducing step, and an ink package mounted wherein the ink package accommodates a second ink having a

second degree of deaeration, which is lower than the first degree of deaeration for the second ink-introducing step.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9-14 are allowed.

Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The primary reason for the indication of allowable subject matter of claim 2 is the inclusion of the method step of wherein the initial use ink package is in a state, before mounting thereof on the mounting portion, in which the initial use ink package is enclosed such that the first ink in the initial use ink package maintains the first degree of deareration which is higher than the second degree of deaeration of the second ink in the replacement ink package. It is this step found in each of the claims, as it is claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

The primary reason for the allowance of claims 9-14 is the inclusion of the limitation of an ink package mounted on the mounting portion, wherein the ink package comprises an initial use ink package accommodation a first ink having a first degree of deaeration and a replacement ink package accommodating a second ink having a second degree of deaeration which is lower than the first degree of deaeration, the initial use ink package and the replacement ink package being selectively mounted on the mounting portion, the initial use ink package being initially mounted on the mounting

Application/Control Number: 10/721,304 Page 8

Art Unit: 2853

portion when the ink jet printing head is initially used. It is this limitation found in each of the claims, as it is claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Uhlenhake whose telephone number is (571) 272-5916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JSU October 30, 2006

STEPHEN MEIER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER